

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
FRIDAY, JULY 25, 2003
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S114976

JONES (EDWARD) ON H.C.
Petition ordered withdrawn

pursuant to written request of petitioner.

S116681

GREEN (RICK) ON H.C.
Petition ordered withdrawn

pursuant to written request of petitioner.

S117661

B168625 Second Appellate District,
Division Eight

ROBINS v. S.C. (SHELLEY)
Petition for review denied

Petitioners seek review of the Court of Appeal's denial of their petition for writ of mandate, and petitioners also seek immediate relief in the form of an order halting all preparations for a recall election. Noting that the superior court has scheduled a hearing for August 8, 2003, to consider petitioners' allegations of irregularities in the signature collection process for the recall, the Court of Appeal concluded that the trial court did not abuse its discretion in declining to stay the recall process pending the August 8 hearing. Having considered the matter, we deny the amended petition for review and request for immediate relief filed in this court July 24, 2003.

George, C.J., and Brown, J., were absent and did not participate.

S045078

PEOPLE v. CLARK (ROYAL)
Extension of time granted

to September 29, 2003 to file respondent's brief.

S111780

H023080 Sixth Appellate District

T. (GEORGE), IN RE

Extension of time granted

Respondent's time to serve and file the answer brief is extended to and including August 22, 2003.

No further extensions of time to file respondent's brief are contemplated.

S114551

STEELE (RAYMOND) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

to August 26, 2003 to file traverse to the return to the order to show cause.

S110741

PADILLA (ALFREDO A.) ON H.C.

Order filed

The order filed on July 9, 2003, denying petitioner's motion to file exhibit 141 under seal is amended to read, in its entirety:

"Petitioner's motion, filed on October 10, 2002, for leave to file exhibit 141 under seal in support of the pending petition for writ of habeas corpus, is denied. Denial is without prejudice to the submission of a new motion to file the exhibit under seal. Any such motion is due by August 6, 2003.

"In submitting any motion to file the exhibit under seal, petitioner's counsel must also ensure and declare that disclosing to this court the information contained in the exhibit satisfies all state and federal disclosure limitations, including those contained in section 5328 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, section 290dd-2 of title 42 of the United States Code, and part 2 of title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations. It does not appear that there is adequate consent to disclose this information by the individual to whom the exhibit pertains, either as to the persons to whom the information may be released, or as to the dates of the information that may be released.

"The clerk is directed to return to petitioner

exhibit 141, which was lodged conditionally under seal.

“George, C.J., was absent and did not participate.

“Brown, J., was absent and did not participate.”

S116614

UTILITY REFORM NETWORK v. P.U.C.
(ALLIANCE FOR RETAIL ENERGY MARKETS)
Order filed

The application of Respondent California Public Utilities Commission to file a consolidated answer to petitions for writ of review in case numbers S116614 & S117388 is hereby granted.

S117388

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON v. P.U.C.
Order filed

The application of respondent California Public Utilities Commission to file a consolidated answer to petitions for writ of review in case numbers S116614 & S117388 is hereby granted.